

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/785,815	09/785,815 02/16/2001		Yeuk-Fai Edwin Mok	4969/USA/ISM/COPPER/SB 4127	
32588	7590	01/07/2004	EXAMINER		
APPLIED			MACARTHUR, SYLVIA		
2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1763	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	Application No.	, , ,				
	Office Action Summary	09/785,815	MOK ET AL.				
	Omoc Action Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763				
Period fo		pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput to reply it is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	<u>lugust 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 23-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 23-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 February 2001</u> is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
s 3 a 14)⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the fir 7 CFR 1.78.) The translation of the foreign language proacknowledgment is made of a claim for domest eference was included in the first sentence of the content of the content is made.	st sentence of the specification pvisional application has been raic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen	t(e)						
1) Notic 2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/785,815

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-13 in the paper sent 8/27/03 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 24, 25, and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hey et al (US 6,551,488).

Regarding Claim 1, 5, 24, and 28: Hey et al teaches the segmenting of a processing system into wet and dry areas. The mainframe 214 comprises a transfer station 216 (transfer position), a spin-rinse dry (SRD) station 212 (rinse position), and an IBC system 235 (etch position), see col. 5 lines 12-62.

A plurality of nozzles are described as being articulated to a variety of positions the first nozzles extend fluid onto the top of the substrate while the second nozzles extend fluid onto the bottom of the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/785,815

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding the cooperatively movable nozzles is a matter of intended use that the nozzles dispense etchant since the nozzles of Hey are inherently capable of dispensing etchant.

Regarding claims 2 and 25: The wafer orientor 230 (substrate centering hoop) positions each wafer 234 in a desired orientation to ensure that the wafer is properly processed.

Regarding claims 4, 27, and 33: Hey teaches that the unwanted deposits are removed from the edge exclusion zone by the adjustment of the nozzles, see col.8 lines 5-18.

The pedestal actuator 334 rotates the pedestal to spin the substrate and raises and lowers the pedestal. The system of Dordi also adjusts the orientation and placement of nozzles so that the unwanted deposits can be removed along the edge of the substrate to create an edge exclusion zone. The fluid/chemical delivery assembly 2106 comprises one or more nozzles 2150 disposed on one or more dispense arms 2152 which dispenses rinsing fluid and etchant. The dispense arm 2152 includes one ore more conduits extending through the dispense arm for connecting the nozzle 2150 to an etchant source.

The loading station transfer robot 228 (actuator) also transfers wafer 234.

Regarding claims 6 and 29: Hey et al teaches in col. 27 lines 57-64 that slit valve 922 is provided as an assess route for the transfer robot. Fig. 2 illustrates its proximity to the transfer position.

Regarding claims 7 and 30: Col. 6 lines 5-8 discusses that he pedestal actuator 334 rotates the pedestal to spin the substrate and raises and lowers the pedestal, this is illustrated as a spindle assembly in Fig. 4.

Regarding claims 8 and 31: Col. 6 lines 13-15 that a vacuum chuck is used.

Regarding claims 9 and 32: The articulating member anticipates a dispensing arm.

Application/Control Number: 09/785.815

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding claim 10: Hey teaches that the unwanted deposits are removed from the edge exclusion zone by the adjustment of the nozzles, see col.8 lines 5-18. It is a matter of intended use that the nozzles dispense etchant rather than rinsing fluid. The nozzles of Hey are inherently capable of dispensing etchant.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 11-13, 23, 26, and 34-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a rotatable a centering hoop rinsing nozzle and a dispensing arm assembly.

Conclusion

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The limitation of "cooperatively movable nozzles required further search, consideration, and thus a new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/785,815

Art Unit: 1763

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6.

examiner should be directed to Sylvia R MacArthur whose telephone number is 571-272-1438.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during the core hours of 8 a.m. and 2 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on 703-308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Sylvia R MacArthur Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763

Muallya L

P. Harsonzodol primary Examiner AV 1763

Page 5